

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/806,639	HUEBLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615

All Participants:

(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____.

(2) William valence.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

of record

Claims discussed:

of record

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the instant rejections and in view of the declaration and the applicants' arguments regarding the prior art teachings, examiner suggested to the counsel that instant claims would be allowable upon limiting the claims to hydroxypropylmethylcellulose as the polymer. Counsel agreed and authorized examiner to amend the claims by examiner's amendment. ..